

Provost Evaluation



How effective is the Provost in:	(5) Exceeds Expectations	(3) Meets Standards	(1) Below Expectations	(UTJ) Unable to Judge
Actively promoting research and scholarly excellence	0	0	0	0
Actively promoting teaching excellence	0	0	0	0
Actively promoting excellence in institutional and public service	0	0	0	0
Effectively representing my department/program	0	0	0	0
Administering in an open and transparent manner	0	0	0	0
Responding to faculty interests	0	0	0	0
Seeking faculty input in decision making	0	0	0	0
Supporting faculty development	0	0	0	0
Maintaining an effective and competent administrative staff	0	0	0	0
Communicating goals to the faculty	0	0	0	0
Managing financial resources	0	0	0	0
Promoting cooperation among disciplines	0	0	0	0
Supporting a fair and rigorous promotion and tenure process	0	0	0	0
Actively promoting diversity	0	0	0	0
Enforcing University policies and procedures as well as those of the Board of Governors and the West Virginia Higher Education Policy Commission	0	0	0	0
Overall, leading to inspire confidence	0	0	0	0
Comments:				

Academic Year:	
Provost:	

Intent:

- 1. To officially recognize the valuable contributions of the Provost; and
- 2. To identify, if necessary, any areas in which the Provost can improve.

Average Score:
