

Dean Evaluation



How effective is the Dean in:	(5) Exceeds Expectations	(3) Meets Standards	(1) Below Expectations	(UTJ) Unable to Judge
Being accessible and approachable, when appropriate	0	0	0	0
Establishing and communicating well-defined goals	0	0	0	0
Coordinating curriculum review, revisions and/or development	0	0	0	0
Coordinating all course offerings and teaching schedules	0	0	0	0
Administering openly and transparently	0	0	0	0
Seeking faculty input in decision making.	0	0	0	0
Effectively managing financial resources including budgeted expenditures	0	0	0	0
Providing needed information in a timely manner	0	0	0	0
Encouraging initiative and innovation	0	0	0	0
Supporting a fair and rigorous promotion and tenure process	0	0	0	0
Addressing faculty conflict when it occurs	0	0	0	0
Addressing student/faculty conflict when it occurs	0	0	0	0
Enforcing University policies and procedures as well as those of the Board of Governors and the West Virginia Higher Education Policy Commission	0	0	0	0
Overall, leading to inspire confidence	0	0	0	0
Comments:				

Academic Year:	
Dean:	

Intent:

- 1. To officially recognize the valuable contributions of the Dean; and
- 2. To identify, if necessary, any areas in which the Dean can improve.

Average Score:	Average	Score:	
----------------	---------	--------	--